

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/061,963		02/01/2002	Martin Steinwender	20496-321	8756		
21890	7590	10/08/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PROSKAU			SAFAVI, N	SAFAVI, MICHAEL			
PATENT D 1585 BROA		IENT		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YOR	K, NY I	10036-8299		3673			
				DATE MAILED: 10/08/200	DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Λ						
	Application No.		Applicant(s)							
	10/061,963		STEINWENDER,	MARTIN /						
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	1						
	M. Safavi	:	3673	$\mathcal{N}$						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address										
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	ZIS SET TO EYR	IDE 3 MONTH(S	\ EROM	Į						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe  within the statutory mini will apply and will expire S  cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timel mum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	y filed will be considered timel e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.						
Status										
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 J</u>				٠.						
,	is action is non-fir									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under I Disposition of Claims				ie merits is						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-20 and 23-37</u> is/are pending	in the application									
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-37</u> is/are withdraw										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-20,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirer	nent.								
Application Papers										
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	oted or b)☐ objecte	d to by the Exami	iner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	l in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approve	d b)⊡ disapprove	ed by the Examin	er.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office act	on.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:				٠.						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been recei	ved in Application	n No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisiona	application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>	• •									
Attachment(s)	. ,	33								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (F Notice of Informal Pat Other:								

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/061,963

Art Unit: 3673

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 13, 20, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13, to what, specifically, does "different layers of capsules" refer? Do "different layers of capsules" form part of the claimed invention? Or, is claim 13 merely reciting an adhesive with no particular relationship to the invention defined by claim 1?

It is not clear as to what is being defined by the language of claim 21. Does the invention defined by claim 21 possess a structural component made of cellulose-containing material or not?

Does the invention defined by claim 21 possess a structural component made of metal or not?

Does the invention defined by claim 21 possess a structural component made of plastic or not?

Or, does claim 21 merely present conditional language?

Claim 24, line 3, what, specifically, is being defined by "said at least one of joint face"?

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/061,963 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 4. Claims 1-10, 12-17, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roesch et al. Roesch et al. discloses, Figs. 1-3, a joint between two components utilizing a multi-component adhesive which adhesive can take the form of a matrix 5 and micro capsules 1, Fig. 1, as well as layers of matrix 5 and micro capsules 1, Fig. 2, as well as application of two different types of micro capsules possessing different materials of the reaction adhesive system. Roesch et al., as at lines 18-24 and 60-65 of col. 9, teaches applying the adhesive in the form of a matrix having the micro capsules distributed along a layer thereof.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 3673

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-10, 12-20, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Nemeth or German reference 297,03,963 in view of Roesch et al.

Nemeth discloses, Fig. 9, utilization of adhesive within a joint between two components of a floor covering. German reference '963 discloses, Figs. 1 and 2, utilization of adhesive within a joint between two components of a floor covering.

Roesch et al. discloses, Figs. 1-3, a joint between two components utilizing a multi-component adhesive which adhesive can take the form of a matrix 5 and micro capsules 1, Fig. 1, as well as layers of matrix 5 and micro capsules 1, Fig. 2, as well as application of two different types of micro capsules possessing different materials of the reaction adhesive system. Roesch et al., as at lines 18-24 and 60-65 of col. 9, teaches applying the adhesive in the form of a matrix having the micro capsules distributed along a layer thereof.

To have applied any of the multi-component adhesive systems disclosed in Roesch et al. within the floor covering connections of either of Nemeth or German reference '963, thus taking advantage of any of various well known multi-component adhesives, would have constituted an obvious expedient to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/061,963 Page 5

Art Unit: 3673

#### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed July 09, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Roesch et al. does teach application of a matrix having the micro capsules distributed along a layer thereof as is set forth in the above rejections. As for the rejection involving either of Nemeth or German reference 297,03,963, Roesch et al. provides the teaching of forming a joint, (tongue and groove), with a multi-component adhesive system utilizing micro capsules.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Page 6

BAICHAEL SAFAVI